



UNITED STATES REPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington I C 20231 www.uspto.gov

				www.uspto.kov		
APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONTRACTOR	
09/530,069		07/03/2000	Serge Vanhaelemeersch	VANM149.001A	CONFIRMATION NO. 7478	
20995	7590	10/21/2002			7470	
2040 MAIN	STREET		AR LLP	EXAMINER GOUDREAU, GEORGE A		
FOURTEEN IRVINE, CA		OR				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1763	9	
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	
Office Action Summary	Application No.  O9-53009 Vonhacle  Examiner Group Art Unit  George Soudreau 765	morsh
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence	address —
Period for Reply	_	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 30 day Mansph(S) FROM THE M	AILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after a, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be con- efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. expanding date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any	sidered timely. nication. . § 133).
Status	r-001/12 0 - 4 G)	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	5-021 (le, - paper #8)-	···
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	·	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>	cept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is</b> 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	<b>closed</b> in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the ap	plication.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) (-16	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
_ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction	n or election
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction requirement	n or election
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner	n or election
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction requirement is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)  X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* None of the:	are subject to restriction requirement  is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction requirement  is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received. en received in Application No.	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* ▼ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified	are subject to restriction requirement  is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction requirement  is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n or election
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction requirement  is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction requirement  is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  nents have been received  onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction requirement  is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  lents have been received  onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/530,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

15. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1.) a one step etching process employing a plasma which is comprised of a mixture of an oxygen containing gas, and an inert gas (i.e.-claims 9-15);
- 2.) a one step etching process employing a plasma which is comprised of a mixture of a fluorine containing gas, an inert gas, and essentially no oxygen containing gas
  (i.e.-claims 1-8); and
- 3.) a two step etching process which first employs a plasma which is comprised of a mixture of a fluorine containing gas, an inert gas, and essentially no oxygen containing gas which is followed by using a plasma which is comprised of an oxygen containing gas, and an inert gas (i.e.-claim 16)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/530,069

Art Unit: 1763

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(1).

A telephone call was made to attorney Rose M. Thiessen on 10-16-02' to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau whose telephone number is (703) -308-1915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

Art Unit: 1763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Examiner Gregory Mills, can be reached on (703) -308-1633. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -306-3186.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-0661.

George A. Goudreau/gag

Primary Examiner

AU 1763